IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI. APPLN.NO.330 OF 2007
IN
CRI.APPLN.NO.2053 OF 2005

Keshav Bendu Pitambare ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

...
Mr.N.N.Gavankar i/b Arfan Sait Advocate
for Applicant
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh APP for State
...

WITH
CRI. APPLN.NO.355 OF 2007
IN
CRI.APPLN.NO.2964 OF 2005

Deepak Mangal Bhoir ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

...
Mr.N.N.Gavankar i/b Arfan Sait Advocate
for Applicant
Smt.A.S.Pai APP for State
...
WITH
CRI. APPLN.NO.360 OF 2007
IN
CRI.APPLN.NO.2965 OF 2005

Ramesh Tukaram Bhoir ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

...
Mr.N.N.Gavankar i/b Arfan Sait Advocate
for Applicant

Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh APP for State

...

WITH

CRI. APPLN.NO.361 OF 2007

IN

CRI.APPLN.NO.2966 OF 2005

Bhaskar Shirpat Bhoir ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

...

Mr.N.N.Gavankar i/b Arfan Sait Advocate for Applicant

Smt.A.S.Pai APP for State

...

WITH

CRI. APPLN.NO.362 OF 2007

IN

CRI.APPLN.NO.2967 OF 2005

Dyaneshwar Walku Bhoir ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

...

Mr.N.N.Gavankar i/b Arfan Sait Advocate for Applicant

Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh APP for State

...

WITH

CRI. APPLN.NO.365 OF 2007

IN

CRI.APPLN.NO.2969 OF 2005

Malhari Kaluram @ Baliram Bhoir ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

...

Mr.N.N.Gavankar i/b Arfan Sait Advocate
for Applicant
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh APP for State
...
WITH
CRI. APPLN.NO.366 OF 2007
IN
CRI.APPLN.NO.2970 OF 2005

Bharat Sambhu Vishe ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

...
Mr.N.N.Gavankar i/b Arfan Sait Advocate
for Applicant
Smt.A.S.Pai APP for State
...

WITH
CRI. APPLN.NO.372 OF 2007
IN
CRI.APPLN.NO.2934 OF 2005

Krishna Deu Bhoir ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

...
Mr.N.N.Gavankar i/b Arfan Sait Advocate
for Applicant
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh APP for State
...

WITH
CRI. APPLN.NO.396 OF 2007
IN
CRI.APPLN.NO.2968 OF 2005

Pandit Keru Pitambare ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

...

Mr.N.N.Gavankar i/b Arfan Sait Advocate for Applicant
Smt.A.S.Pai APP for State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : OCTOBER 24, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicants and the learned APP for the State in all the above matters. The applicants were granted bail in C.R.No.I-96 of 2004 of Kalyan Taluka Police Station by this Court.

2. When bail was granted, one of the conditions imposed on the applicants was that they shall not enter Thane District during the pendency of the trial except for attending the Court cases on the specified dates. Thereafter, by order dated 29.6.2006, the applicants were allowed to enter Thane District for a period of sixty days. Thereafter, pursuant to various orders passed by this Court, the applicants were granted relaxation of the said condition till 31.3.2007. Now the applicants are seeking that the said condition may be deleted.

3. Mr.Gavanekar, the learned advocate for the applicants states that during this period viz. from June 2006 till 31.3.2007, when the condition imposed of not entering Thane District, was relaxed no untoward incident has been reported. He, therefore, prays that the said condition be relaxed. As of today, the learned APP could not point out any untoward incident during the said period in relation to the applicants.

4. In this view of the matter, condition that "the applicants shall not enter into Thane District" is relaxed. On account of the said condition being relaxed, the applicants shall report to Kalyan Taluka Police Station henceforth till further orders. Rest of the conditions mentioned in the bail orders i.e. dated 28.3.2005 & 4.5.2005, are maintained.

5. Applications are disposed of.

[SMT.V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.]